

REMARKS

In response to the above Office Action, claims 21, 26, and 27 have been amended to include the missing formulas. Support for these can be found in the claims 21, 26, and 27 filed in the Amendment of May 23, 2005. Applicants regret this error and appreciate the Examiner pointing this out in the Office Action. It is believed claims 21-29, 34, and 35 now comply with the requirements of §112, second paragraph.

In response to the rejection of the claims 36 and 37 under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, these claims have now been cancelled. Withdrawal of the ground of rejection is therefore requested.

On page 2 of the Office Action the Examiner characterized claim 34 as a "compound" claim. Actually, it is a composition claim.


It is believed claims 21-35 are in condition for allowance.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and reexamination of this application and the timely allowance of the pending claims.

Please grant any extensions of time required to enter this response and charge any additional required fees to our deposit account 06-0916.

Respectfully submitted,

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,
GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.

By: 
Arthur S. Garrett
Reg. No. 20,338

Dated: October 31, 2005